Central banks print money and bail out lenders. This protects those that make bad loans/ borrow that can't repay, this removes restraint. Having 0% interest rates means that there is nothing to collect on a bad loan and more bailouts occur. It distorts the system and crashes it.

IMF is used to exchange goods and currencies. This is done by two countries swapping goods with each other example China shipping toys to America. Both parties pay a fee for this transaction. This is the response to the old barter system where goods were traded for other goods straight up. The IMF is theft; it is a paid service of a fixed rate to trade goods and services.

Markets are entirely technical data. Fundamental traders are lured in by highs and lows.

Lower oil prices means less dollars used; this is bad for the USA. It also bids up Kuwait's market with higher costs.

World communities steal from countries by charging 20x more for products like steel, wheat, guns, medicine etc. This is price fixing. It also creates shortages and bidding. This is control /pressure international trade.

Companies are taxed by net income subsidy takes money from one company and gives it to another companies argue they are saving jobs by doing this

Tax expenditure- different tax rate for a company in one field

Countries export for a better living standards. They pay for imports by exporting

Taxes in a free market are an excuse for doctors to charge more whereas fixed wages tell us how much can be charged

U.S. economy has 1# stock exchange by a wide margin at 26,000 shares, fifth is Shanghai at 3,986 billion, and eighth is Shenzhen 2,285 billion.

87% of daily share of dollar, 33.4% of Euro. Worthless currencies are being propped up. Its value is all supply and demand.

Taxation is necessary for a federal government unless you put your trust in companies to do the savings for you which I don't because many want profit first within ethical boundaries. A lot of these companies want products that are cheap instead of most efficient knowing that the buyer wants the cheapest possible products and then the company tries to say that "the

cheapest can be the best at times" just to mess with you. It's funny because even if we labeled products such as fans based on how long they would last, the poor wouldn't care enough to spend the extra money. We also need money to buy the tanks from the investors if we are to buy it from the free market which no companies wants to be told to give us taxes because of money. I don't want to sponsor tanks also, that would look strange to have a McDonald's logo on it. Don't say that wouldn't be brought up as an idea when they already put ads everywhere in stadiums.

All the former Soviet countries and China did not have a private sector, but Syria did and this prevented it from crashing. That's why the US government wanted the Soviet Union to stay in the 90s and had funded it. Syria, Libya, Iraq were big on government, but they are either center-left or center because they let you keep your wealth. Iran has and will always be center-right or right wing.

The problem with leftists is that they think everything is corporate owned meaning that corporations want the highest possible prices. They don't realize that corporations have cutbacks, nor do they know about how inflation works. This is the one country where Wal-Mart will sell you 100 orders of pliers even if you only needed one. Don't they want you to keep going back? They know that when your wages are higher, you will buy more material. Because of economies of scale, they can now produce more at a cheaper cost. Hillary Clinton comes in and says "I will raise their taxes"; not because she is lost, but because she wants her system where the money goes where she wants more money for government ran institutions that reflect how she wants America to be run. She knows raising taxes only lowers our standard of living because the money is being spent and not invested like it should be. She just thinks her system will produce better results than mine whether it be less crime rates or higher test scores, she wants something for America she's not unrealistic or greedy. She will make cutbacks if need be, but Bernie would not, he would never lower the minimum wage nor cut unions so we would be stuck with him and much higher unemployment that is concerning that he would leave people on welfare rather than employ more people. At least with Hillary she thinks 0% unemployment is achievable and America's economy will somehow pickup. If you can tell me I don't dislike her policies I just don't agree with them at all, I really don't think hers will work, and I feel mine are far superior to hers. I refer to leftists as "utopians" because they really think it is possible

whereas I accept that not everything will go according to plan. It shows you how dumb they think the general public is here because the commercials keep talking about spending more for education as an investment because the youth are still dumb yet it is consumption and we are just giving teachers more money in schools where the students don't want to work or the teachers don't want to teach at any salary, it's one of those two problems and paying the teachers more can't be the solution because preppy kids with their cliques always do better in schools, we know by looking at the students who will fail in college so unless if the teachers are somehow going to change the students, it is worthless. Spending more is bad for the whole country because we are not a Confederation so whatever LA does to increase consumption is going to cost me because I am in the same country as them. College is a waste of money, only 15% of the country has to go to it. It costs us a fortune. Many of our jobs are in sales and now Amazon has it covered, so many people here could just not work at all, I would gladly give them a check in the mail if I were President.

The reason as to why we don't purposely charge people more money for products and services is because these people will hopefully save money later on in life. If they were to buy something at a later age, this practice helped them save. The more money you take from them, the more money that will be used in consumption. While it's true that if I took the money, I'm more inclined to save it instead of the person I am ripping off, at the end of the day the cycle is not worth it. Plus, the majority of people will upgrade whatever they own and if I could prove that we are better off not ripping people off from a consumption standpoint, then we have to do it. It also helps to grow good businesses and set good ethics, but I look beyond that.

Governments can't run elections in a country where 43% do not vote, should either require voting, raise the age to 23 or have only one candidate. It shows how dumb protests were no Reagan (high approval rating) to get upset at Trump winning. Oh man, why do people around the world care about who wins? It must only be the youth because China I'm sure just says "oh I want Trump to win" and then just leaves it at that. It's the dumb ones who think Trump will affect them, the one guy in the car said he doesn't have enough trust in Trump with nukes but he didn't doubt Trump's judgment.

The Soviet Union they created was not perfect no matter how hard they tried to make it. When will the leftist accept this fact? If the school systems want you to be a Socialist, they will promote it in the schools specifically

college which they now do in America. Back in the 60's, Conservatism was promoted and the youth wanted a bit of Socialism. This is not to say that fox news is losing badly, Conservatism will never leave America because of Ronald Reagan. No one wants to pay 40% of their salary in taxes when they make 60k a year.

Socialism is the state running everything regardless of if the businesses are privatized or not because they decide how much wealth a company makes. Taxes are different; taxes are how we pay down the debt. Socialism is terrible; they will not let the worker decide how many hours you work. I know that democracy leads to more Socialism. This occurred to me when Trump suggested that John McCain did not do enough for veterans. I know this because the public reaction is to raise taxes on the rich or to raise taxes on corporations. That is why I intend to rebrand our corporations' images showing that they are our assets and are not in it to make money alone. It is never suggested to increase taxation on consumption or to invest more money in our corporations. If a corporation is proven not to serve the public's best interest, the media will report on this, protests will emerge.

Risk free debt- victor will pay for debts of the vanquished in war.

Found out first about British victory of Waterloo, issued consuls (bonds), traders panicked and sold their shares. This allowed Rothschild's to resell at a 20-1 return on investment.

They wanted a gold standard in the US during the civil war because they owned all of the gold.

They want gun control so you cannot succeed from their union (Ukraine War)

Churches in Europe did not allow for interest loans except for England and France

Without tariff barriers, companies that were once run could not compete with international companies and became insolvent entities. They also promoted labor unions in the 60's so companies would lose their employees or would require better benefits by the U.S. government.

I can't understand shrinkflation, just raise the price of a product instead.